

Research Statement

Marko Mlikota
University of Pennsylvania

23 November 2022

My research interests are in time series econometrics and (international) macroeconomics. In particular, I am interested in the relation between economic dynamics and networks. Networks summarize bilateral connections among cross-sectional units and, as a result, embody some notion of distance between them. They are omnipresent in (macro)economics. For example,

- countries are linked by trade flows, capital flows, geopolitical and cultural ties,
- cities and regions are tied together by infrastructure and migration,
- industries connect via input-output linkages,
- firms interact based on product similarity, common ownership, and R&D transferability,
- individuals are linked by acquaintance, group membership and common identities.

Networks have interesting implications for the evolution of variables at the cross-sectional level, even more so when they interact with heterogeneity in units' characteristics. In turn, they are themselves shaped by past and current behavior of the cross-section.

In my Job Market Paper (JMP), “**Cross-Sectional Dynamics Under Network Structure: Theory and Macroeconomic Applications**”, I develop an econometric framework to study the dynamics of a cross-section linked by a network of bilateral ties. It consists of a vector autoregression (VAR) in which innovations transmit cross-sectionally via bilateral links and which can accommodate general patterns on how innovations travel through the network over time, i.e. how network-connections of higher order accumulate as time progresses. In contrast to existing literature,¹ I consider lagged rather than contemporaneous network interactions and I am interested in studying dynamic, contagion-like innovation transmission through the network.² To do so, I generalize the time profile of network effects and analyze how it shapes the time series properties of the model. The Network-VAR (NVAR) is applicable whether or not network data is available. I discuss and illustrate each case with a respective application.

In the first application, I take the supply chain network of the US economy as given and document how it shapes the monthly dynamics of Producer Price Indices (PPI) across sectors. I show that the proposed NVAR indeed approximates the process of sectoral prices in an input-output economy with time lags in converting inputs to outputs. Existing macroeconomic literature uses contemporaneous input usage to document that the supply chain network amplifies firm- or sector-level shocks. In contrast, I use the NVAR to estimate the time profile of network interactions, which allows me to go beyond steady state comparisons and study transition dynamics induced by granular shocks. With network data given, inference on the timing of network effects boils down to a pooled-OLS-

¹For all references in this document, see my papers on my website: <https://markomlikota.github.io>.

²Under contemporaneous dependencies, network connections of all order play out simultaneously. As I discuss in the paper, this framework is useful for steady state comparisons, i.e. analyzing long-term effects of permanent shocks, but it is silent on how networks drive dynamics.

like regression with covariates that summarize lagged observations using network connections of different order.

The results suggest that sectors differ not only in the strength of their impact on aggregate prices, but also in its timing, with no clear relationship between the two. How quickly a shock in a sector affects aggregate PPI is determined by the sector’s importance as an immediate – as opposed to further upstream – supplier to relevant sectors in the economy. Owing to their position at the top of supply chains, the response to price increases in energy-related sectors is estimated as particularly slow (persistent).

In the second application, rather than taking the network as given, I use the NVAR to model industrial production growth across 44 countries by assuming and in turn estimating an underlying network as relevant for dynamics. This provides a novel perspective on global business cycles as it assumes that the dynamic comovement in economic activity across countries is the result of bilateral connections. The model yields a sparse, yet flexible way of approximating cross-sectional time series even in high dimensions. Sparsity is owed to networks’ ability to summarize complex relations among units by relatively few non-zero bilateral links. Flexibility is obtained because the network is estimated and because, given a network, the model can accommodate different time profiles of network effects. Estimation is conducted by Least Squares with a Lasso penalty on network links.

My theoretical analysis reveals that the NVAR is expected to better capture cross-sectional dynamics than a factor model whenever they are composed of many micro links rather than driven by a few influential units. And indeed, in my application, the NVAR leads to reductions in out-of-sample mean squared errors of up to 20% relative to a principal components factor model, in particular for horizons up to six months. Furthermore, the model returns an estimate for the network as relevant for industrial production dynamics which is roughly in line with expectations and features the US as the most influential country. By explicitly modeling the dependence for any pair of countries, it estimates the whole set of spillover and spillback effects. Differences in these across country pairs are attributed to differences in network-connectedness of different order.

In **“Why Does a Dominant Currency Replace Another?”**, joint with A. Mehl and I. Van Robays, we are interested in explaining the marked increases in the use of the Euro at the expense of the US Dollar in European countries’ invoicing of exports. This phenomenon is rather unique because currency shares (in trade and elsewhere) are pretty stable over time. Recently proposed macroeconomic theory (Mukhin, 2022; Gopinath & Stein 2022) hypothesize about two driving factors and point to the importance of cross-country effects. Accordingly, a country’s usage of EUR in export invoicing is a function of its trade with the Euro Area (EA) and its EUR exchange rate volatility, as well as those of its trade partners, the trade partners of trade partners, etc. The cross-country links reflect import-export relationships as well as strategic complementarities in export price setting.

To test the theory and determine the drivers of the observed phenomenon, we build a panel-VAR with 13 (non-Eurozone) European countries and four variables for each: the EUR-share of export invoicing, the EUR-share of import invoicing, exports to EA, and EUR exchange rate volatility. For each country, we identify shocks to the latter two using point restrictions motivated by theory. Our preliminary results suggest that the observed increases in EUR invoicing are primarily the result of

reduced EUR exchange rate volatilities rather than increased trade with the EA. Thereby, cross-country effects play an important role in moving the countries jointly away from USD invoicing towards EUR invoicing.

The insights from my JMP on the relation between dynamics and network connections carry over, although in this project we use lagged as well as contemporaneous interactions.³ The analysis is more in the spirit of the first application in my JMP since we are interested in the effect of bilateral ties on cross-sectional dynamics rather than generally modeling (and forecasting) the latter. Yet, we only take bilateral trade links as given and estimate the remaining two types of connections. We do so parsimoniously by interacting the relevant bilateral trade statistic with parameters which capture the strength of each type of connection and which are fixed across country-pairs.⁴ Estimating these parameters is challenging because of spurious correlation issues which arise due to trending variables.

In further projects, I worked on extending econometric methods to enable the analysis of pertinent questions in macroeconomics. In **“Sequential Monte Carlo with Model Tempering”**, joint with F. Schorfheide, we propose a method to substantially speed up Bayesian estimation of models with slow likelihood evaluations. This notably includes nonlinear Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium (DSGE) models, which are indispensable for many pressing questions in empirical macroeconomics and whose estimation is often considered practically infeasible. In **“SVARs with Occasionally-Binding Constraints”**, joint with S.B. Aruoba, F. Schorfheide and S. Villalvazo, we build a VAR which can accommodate the effective lower bound (ELB) on interest rates and use it to document that inflation responds stronger to monetary stimulus (a decrease in the shadow rate) at the ELB.

³Theory, as usual, features contemporaneous network interactions. Because we are using low-frequency (annual) data, abstracting from contemporaneous interactions is not plausible.

⁴The static complementarity parameter is interacted with a measure of overlap in export destination markets, weighted by the respective market shares, while the impact of destination countries' Euro exchange rate volatilities on a country's Euro share of invoicing is scaled by their respective share in that country's exports.